

DOCKET NO: 199153US2S

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
FEB 0 3 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER P22-

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM EDWIN D. GARLEPP

SENIOR ASSOCIATE (703) 413-3000

EGARLEPP@OBLON.COM

RE:

U.S. Application

Serial No: 09/696,965 Filed: October 27, 2000 Inventor: Naohisa Kamiyama

For: Ultrasound Diagnostic Apparatus

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$ --0-- is attached covering any required fees. In the event that any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 CFR 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, BAC

22850

Tel. No.: (703) 413-3000 Fax No.: (703) 413-2220

GJM:EDG:eac

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Edwin D. Garlepp

Registration No. 45,330

1940 DUKE STREET ■ ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 ■ U.S.A. TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 ■ FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 ■ WWW.OBLON.COM

DOCKET NO: 199153US-620-39-2S

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

RE APPLICATION OF:

NAOHISA KAMIYAMA

GROUP:

3737

SERIAL NO: 09/696,965

EXAMINER: W. JUNG

FILED: OCTOBER 27, 2000

FEB 0 3 2003

FOR: ULTRASOUND DIAGNOSTIC APPARATUS

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated December 31, 2002, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-18, 23, 24, and 25, directed to scanning via transmission and reception control circuit which is in line with electronic array scanning. Applicants traverse the restriction requirement for the following reason:

MPEP § 803 states:

MPEP § 803

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

However, the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would exist if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and all the claims were examined together. Moreover, the claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully also traverse the outstanding

Restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-28 be conducted.

Attention should be drawn to Applicant's representatives' change of address, effectively January 6, 2003:

Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, P.C. 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.Q.

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Edwin D. Garlepp

Registration No. 45,330

22850

Tel. No.: (703) 413-3000 Fax No.: (703) 413-2220

GJM:EDG:eac

I:\atty\edg\0039-Toshiba\199153\199153.resp to rest.wpd